



## Wörterbücher zur Sprach- und Kommunikationswissenschaft (WSK) Online

Editor-in-Chief: Schierholz, Stefan J. / Wiegand, Herbert Ernst

Ed. by Demmerling, Christoph / Fellbaum, Christiane / Girnth, Heiko / Grzybek, Peter / Habermann, Mechthild / Hall, T. Alan / Helmbrecht, Johannes / Juchem-Grundmann, Constanze / Jung, Dagmar / Kilian, Jörg / Köhler, Reinhard / Kortmann, Bernd / Müller, Peter O. / Naumann, Sven / Neef, Martin / Niemeier, Susanne / Olsen, Susan / Pompino-Marschall, Bernd / Rosenberg, Peter / Rymarczyk, Jutta / Schierholz, Stefan J. / Schoenefeld, Doris / Stekeler-Weithofer, Pirmin / Warnke, Ingo H. / Weingarten, Rüdiger / Wischer, Ilse

Cognitive Grammar

Editor(s): Susanne Niemeier, Constanze Juchem-Grundmann

2013

10.1515/wsk.38.0.secondarylandmark

Published Online 31.1.2014

### secondary landmark

Ana María Rojo López

landmark which stands out as less prominent than the primary one in a processual predication with multiple landmarks.

*sekundäre Landmarke*

Landmarke in einer prozessualen Prädikation mit mehreren Landmarken, die weniger sichtbar ist als die primäre Landmarke.

The secondary landmark profiles an elaboration site elaborated by a relational complement, hence its identity with the relational landmark. It prototypically profiles a schematic situation or destination which is elaborated by a prepositional complement, as in the sentence *Mark put the books on the shelf*, where *Mark* is the schematic trajector, *the books* is the schematic landmark and *on the shelf* is the schematic destination, also referred to as secondary landmark.

A similar example is provided by LANGACKER (1991: 352) in connection with *there*-clauses, such as *There be a vase on the table*, where the trajector of *be* is the primary landmark elaborated by the nominal complement *a vase* and the secondary landmark is the schematic situation invoked by *be*, which is instantiated by the relational complement *on the table*.

However, the secondary landmark may also profile an elaboration site elaborated by a verbal complement. This is why the secondary landmark also plays a relevant role in causative constructions of the types *Her son made her laugh*, where the secondary landmark is an elaboration site elaborated by a verb stem (i.e., *laugh*), and *The bad weather caused the concert to be cancelled*, where the secondary landmark is an elaboration site elaborated by an infinitival complement (i.e., *to be*).

Another instance of a secondary landmark typically elaborated by a verbal complement is that of complex sentences which involve physical perception, as in *They heard the siren whining*. In this sentence, the trajector is elaborated by the subject *they*, the primary landmark ( $lm_1$ ) by the direct object *the siren*, and the secondary or relational landmark ( $lm_2$ ) by the progressive *whining*, which serves as elaboration site for the complement clause.

### References

- elaboration site (Cognitive Grammar)
- landmark (Cognitive Grammar)
- profiling (Cognitive Grammar)
- relational landmark (Cognitive Grammar)
- relational predication (Cognitive Grammar)
- trajector-landmark organization (Cognitive Grammar)

### Literature

- EVANS, V./ GREEN, M. [2006] Cognitive Linguistics. An Introduction. Edinburgh

LANGACKER, R.W. [1987] Foundations of Cognitive Grammar. Vol. 1: Theoretical Prerequisites. Stanford, CA

LANGACKER, R.W. [1991] Foundations of Cognitive Grammar. Vol. 2: Descriptive Application. Stanford, CA

LANGACKER, R.W. [2008] Cognitive Grammar. A Basic Introduction. Oxford

---

## **Access brought to you by: Ana Rojo**

**Copyright © 2011-2014 by Walter de Gruyter GmbH**

**Powered by PubFactory**